

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/051,557	SCHLEE, DANIEL ROY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TRI V. NGUYEN	1796	

All Participants:

(1) TRI V. NGUYEN

(2) Thomas Anderson (app. rep.)

Date of Interview: 26 February 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all applied

Claims discussed:

all applied

Prior art documents discussed:

all applied

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicant's Representative to amend claim 67 and cancel claims 48-65 without prejudice to their continued prosecution in a continuation application.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/T. V. N./

Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)